The Reliability and validity of the Persian Version of Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire-R18 (TFEQ-R18) in Overweight and Obese Females
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Abstract

Objective: The Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire Reduced (TFEQ-R18) is one of the most widely used instruments for assessing eating behavior worldwide. The present study aimed at confirming the reliability and validity of the Persian version of TFEQ-R18 among overweight and obese females in Iran.

Material and Methods: In the present study, 168 overweight and obese females consented to participate. We estimated the anthropometric indices and asked the participants to complete the TFEQ-R18. Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), Spielberger Anxiety Scale, Appetite Visual Analogue Rating Scale, Food Craving Questionnaire (FCQ), Compulsive Eating Scale (CES), and Restraint Eating Visual Analogue Rating Scale were performed simultaneously to assess concurrent validity. Two weeks later, TFEQ-R18 was repeated for 126 participants to assess test-retest reliability. Moreover, we reported the internal consistency and factor analysis of this questionnaire.

Results: Using the results of the reliability analysis and exploratory factor analysis of the principal component by varimax rotation, we extracted 3 factors: hunger, cognitive restraint, and emotional eating. After removing the Items 16 and 18, the Cronbach’s alpha was increased to 0.73 (The Cronbach’s alpha of the factors was 0.84, 0.64, and 0.7, respectively). The results of the Pearson correlation revealed a consistency of 0.87 between the test and retest administrations (p = 0.001). Significant positive correlations were observed between TFEQ-R18 and BDI, Spielberger Anxiety Scale, FCQ, CES, appetite, body weight, fat percentage, and calorie intake. Moreover, a negative correlation was observed in Restraint Eating Visual Analogue Rating Scale and muscle percentage.

Conclusions: This study aimed at presenting preliminary support for the reliability and validity of the Persian version of TFEQ-R18 and its psychometric characteristics. This instrument may be helpful in clinical practice and research studies of obesity, appetite, and eating behavior.
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Binge eating disorder is a widespread type of disturbed eating behavior, especially among female dieters (1). Eating behavior and nutritional status are associated with body health and mood (2, 3). Hence, using a reliable and valid instrument to measure its dimensions is of prime importance in clinical practice and research. The Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire (TFEQ), which was first created by Albert J. Stunkard (4) is an instrument widely used in eating behavior researches in English speaking population (4). This instrument has been translated and validated in many languages and societies including adults and adolescents. Later, Karlsson et al. (5) reported some construction problems with original TFEQ and decided to revise and reduce the items of the questionnaire. The new 18-item questionnaire with 3 subscales was created and named the TFEQ-R18. Eleni Kavazidou et al.

1. Psychiatry & Psychology Research Center, Roozbeh Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
2. Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, School of Public health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
3. School of Nutritional sciences and dietetics, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
4. Obesity and Eating Habits Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Molecular-Cellular Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

*Corresponding Author:
Address: School of Nutritional Sciences & Dietetics, No: 44, Hojjat-dost Alley, Naderi St.,Keshavarz Blvd,Tehran, Iran
Tel: +9888958805, Fax: +98 21 88984861, E-mail: s_akeshavarz@yahoo.com
Article Information:
Received Date: 2016/07/19, Revised Date: 2017/01/24, Accepted Date: 2017/02/10
showed an acceptable psychometric properties of the TFEQ-R18 for 12 to 45 year old males and females in Greek population (6). Loffler, A. et al. performed the confirmatory factor analysis of TFEQ among German population and assessed the association between TFEQ and BMI. They confirmed the following factors: uncontrolled eating, cognitive restraint, and emotional eating. The BMI values were most strongly correlated with uncontrolled eating sub-score (r = 0.26 /7). Disturbed eating behavior is integrated with psychiatric and appetite disorder and is associated with higher body mass index, (4, 8) and dietary intake of plenty of junk foods (9), and it is more prevalent in females, especially those seeking weight reduction (10). People with disturbed eating behavior usually overeat due to feeling lonely, bored, and depressed (3, 11). They also fail to stop overeating sugary and high fat foods. Hence, this behavior is highly connected with overweight or obesity situations (12). Perhaps, other psychiatric comorbidities occur much more in obese patients with disturbed eating behavior (10). Disturbed eating behavior can be seen in the context of borderline personality disorder, atypical depression, and anxiety. Furthermore, severity of comorbid depression and appetite disorders are associated with the severity of disturbed eating behavior (10).

Valid and reliable instruments are needed in clinical practice and in research to evaluate and interpret subjective measurements such as appetite and eating behavior. Although the aforementioned instrument is reported to be valid and reliable in measuring dimensions of eating behavior in Western societies, due to cultural differences between the Western and Eastern countries, we decided to conduct a confirmatory factor analysis with maximum likelihood and test its reliability and validity in Persian overweight or obese females for the first time. This study aimed at displaying the association of TFEQ-R18 with some similar questionnaires, body composition, and dietary intake factors (concurrent validity). We also aimed at providing construct validity, internal consistency, test-retest, and factor analysis of the TFEQ-R18 in Iranian overweight and obese females.

Materials and Method

Participants

The statistical population was overweight and obese females. The participants were 168 overweight and obese females who referred to a weight reduction clinic. They were selected using the convenience sampling method. Inclusion criteria were female gender, being overweight or obese, and signing the consent form. Before starting a weight loss program, the participants were invited to take part in this study. The study protocol was described to them and informed consent was obtained. A clinical dietitian preformed anthropometric assessments including body weight, height, and waist and hip circumferences. Total body fat and muscle percentage were estimated with body composition analyzer all in standard situations. We used Omron HBF-500 BIA (Omron Co., Japan) device, which involved 8 electrodes, tetrapolar electrodes in footpads, and another 4 sets of electrodes in the handle. Each participant stood on the metal footpads in bare feet and grasped a pair of electrodes fixed to a handle with arms extended in front of the chest. This instrument assesses total body fat, visceral fat, lean body mass, and basal metabolic rate as well as body weight and BMI. The clinical validity of this instrument in measuring body composition has already been approved in comparison with Dual-Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) (13). Then, the participants were asked to fill in the Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire-R18 (TFEQ-R18), Beck Depression Inventory, Spielberger Anxiety Scale, Appetite Visual Analogue Scale, and Compulsive Eating Scale. Furthermore, the participants were asked to fill in a 3-day food record, which was completed at home on 2 nonsequential weekdays, and on 1 day in the weekend, with the days being assigned randomly. The participants were instructed to record everything that they ate or drank including liquids, sweets, and snacks. A guide on portion sizes and scales were also delivered to them. They were required to deliver the 3-day food records 2 weeks later in the second visit. Two weeks later, the tests (TFEQ-R18) were repeated for 126 participants in similar situations.

Instruments

-Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire-R18

This questionnaire is able to distinguish among different eating patterns in a general population. Here we want to assess its reliability and validity in overweight or obese women.

-Compulsive Eating Scale (CES)

This instrument was first created by Kagan & Squires. It is an 8-item self-report instrument made to measure the severity of binge eating disorder. Mostafavi and colleagues have validated the Persian version of this tool in Iranian obese individuals (14). Factor analysis of this instrument showed 2 factors: eating because of negative feelings and overeating. The internal consistency of the CES was 0.85.

-Food Craving Questionnaire (FCQ)

The clinical validity of this instrument was approved in patients with eating disorder. The internal consistency and reliability indexes of the tool ranged from moderate to excellent. This scale could predict the symptoms of eating disorder (15). The factor analysis, and the reliability and validity of the Persian version of FCQ are under study by the current team.

-Beck Depression Inventory

It is a 21-item self-report questionnaire that assesses symptoms of depression in adults. Stephen Dobston and Parvaneh Mohammad Khani (16) reported an acceptable validity and reliability of the Persian version of the questionnaire among Iranian adult population (Cronbach’s Alfa: 0.92).
- Spielberger Anxiety Scale
This instrument is a self-evaluation tool used by many researchers worldwide; its internal consistency is relatively high (Cronbach’s Alpha: 0.90). Panahi et al. have reported the test-retest reliability with correlation coefficient of 0.84 (17).
- Appetite Visual Analogue Scale
This rating scale is widely used in appetite research. Parker et al. tried to assess the validity of the visual analogue scale and reported a significant correlation between food intake and this tool (18).

- Weight and Height Gage
A trained dietitian performed all weight and height measurements in standard situations.
- Body Composition Analyzer; Omron HBF-500 BIA
(Omron Co., Japan); The validity and reliability of this device was approved by Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) and Dual-Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry (19).

Procedure
The TFEQ-R18 was translated into Persian by the first author (SA. M). Then, the third author who is a psychiatrist and native in Persian and fluent in English (MR. M) confirmed the accuracy of the translation and content validity of the Persian version of the TFEQ-R18. An independent translator back translated it into English. The identical content of the 2 versions was confirmed by a language expert. Also, the face and content validity of the questionnaire was checked by all authors (10) and it was good. Next, 200 overweight or obese females were invited and consented to participate in the study; 168 questionnaires were completed by the participants at the first visit, and their data were used for factor analysis and internal consistency measurements; 126 participants completed the study procedure successfully with retest section 2 weeks later, and their data were used for test-retest reliability.

Data Analysis
Descriptive statistics were used to describe the participants, and the Cronbach’s Alpha was used to assess its internal consistency. We performed confirmatory factor analysis to confirm the dimensions and name the factors of the questionnaire. Pearson correlation coefficient was used to assess the test-retest reliability. We also assessed the correlations between TFEQ-R18 and Beck Depression Inventory, Spielberger Anxiety Scale, Appetite Visual Analogue Scale, Food Craving Questionnaire (FCQ), Compulsive Eating Scale (CES), and some anthropometric indices (eg, weight, body fat, and muscle percentages, and waist circumference), and dietary intake of calorie, fat, protein, and sugar. All the analyses were performed using PASW Statistics 18, Release Version 19.0.0 (SPSS, Inc., 2009, Chicago, IL, www.spss.com). Significance level was set at p <0.05.

Results
Subjects were 18-60 year overweight or obese women with mean age of 39±10.9 year. The demographic characteristics of participants are presented in Table 1.

Analysis of Internal Consistency of the TFEQ-R18

Item number 18 was put aside since it was out of the Likert scale. The preliminary reliability of TFEQ-R18 as measured using Cronbach’s alpha coefficient was 0.699 which was very near to acceptable. If the item number 16 was also removed its cronbach’s alpha had increased to 0.73 (Cronbach’s alpha of the factors was 0.84, 0.64, and 0.7, respectively). Overall, the results revealed an acceptable level of internal consistency for the remaining 16 items, and they were homogenous. Hence, items number 16 and 18 did not entered into the factor analysis.

Factor Analysis
We used confirmatory factor analysis to analyze the construction of the questionnaire and name the factors. We benefited from the principal components analysis to extract the factors by varimax rotation. We used the rotation method because we supposed that the factors were correlated with each other, or the components were not independent of each other. Some investigators have proposed that if a factor explains 5% or more of the total variance, that factor is significant (19).

We examined the Kaiser’s measure of sampling adequacy to decide whether we could perform factor analysis on our data set and to decide whether any items could be removed. The Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin (KMO) index was 0.83 (Bartlett’s test of sphericity was significant at P<0.0001, df=153). Therefore, the hypothesis of inter-correlation of the variables used in the analysis in the studied population was accepted. Furthermore, based on the Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin (KMO) index, factor analysis was allowed via correlation matrix (Table 2). The range of factor loadings for the items and their variance as well as the Eigen values are displayed in Table 3; Eigen values of greater than 1.00 explained variance of 50.9%. Moreover, factor analysis via scree plot is plotted in Figure 1. Three components were loaded on expected factors. Factor 1 was stronger and explained the greater percentage of the variance (33.1%); 9 items (1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14) were loaded on this factor (Table 4), and the factor was named hunger. The second factor was named cognitive restraint and explained 9.9 % of the variance (Items 2, 11, 12, 15). The third factor was named emotional eating and explained 7.8% of the variance (Items 3 and 6). The Items 16 and 18 were eliminated from the factor analysis due to lack of consistency with other items of the questionnaire and being out of the Likert scale. The results of the confirmatory factor on 16 items from TFEQ analysis, with varimax rotation, are demonstrated in Table 4.

Test-Retest Reliability
The test–retest reliability of the questionnaire was administered to 126 participants in a 2- week interval. The results of the Pearson correlation revealed a consistency of 0.87 between the 2 administrations (p = 0.001).
Reliability and Validity of TFEQ-R18

Validity of the TFEQ-R18

Concurrent Validity

The Intercorrelations among TFEQ-R18 scores, body composition, anthropometric indices, psychometric indices, eating scales, dietary intake of carbohydrates, fat, protein, and calorie are presented in Table 5. The effect size of the correlation between the TFEQ-R18 scores and anthropometric indices, psychometric questionnaires, and dietary intake provided evidence for the concurrent validity of the TFEQ-R18.

Table 1. Obesity Start Age and Demographic Characteristics of the Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obesity Start Age:</th>
<th>N*</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Before puberty</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- After puberty</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>85.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Diploma or lower</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>48.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Associate degree or BA</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>44.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Postgraduate</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Low</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Middle</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>83.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- High</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Single</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Married</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>82.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Widowed or divorced</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Delivery Number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>31.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin (KMO) Measure of Sampling Adequacy and Bartlett’s Test of Sphericity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO)</th>
<th>0.836</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bartlett's Test of Sphericity</td>
<td>Approx. Chi-Square 987.55 df. 136 Sig. &lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Eigenvalues and Percentages of the Variance Associated With Each Component

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Eigenvalues</th>
<th>% of explained Variance</th>
<th>Cumulative % of the Explained Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.629</td>
<td>33.112</td>
<td>33.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.688</td>
<td>9.932</td>
<td>43.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.335</td>
<td>7.856</td>
<td>50.900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Rotated Component Matrix\(^a\) for items of TFEQ-R-18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Component 1</th>
<th>Component 2</th>
<th>Component 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. When I smell a sizzling steak or juicy piece of meat, I find it very difficult to keep from eating, even if I have just finished a meal.</td>
<td>0.633</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. I deliberately take small helpings as a means of controlling my weight.</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.602</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. When I feel anxious, I find myself eating.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Sometimes when I start eating, I just cannot seem to stop.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Being with someone who is eating often makes me hungry enough to eat also.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. When I feel blue, I often overeat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. When I see a real delicacy, I often get so hungry that I have to eat right away.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. I get so hungry that my stomach often seems like a bottomless pit.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. I am always hungry so it is hard for me to stop eating before I finish the food on my plate.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. When I feel lonely, I console myself by eating.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. I consciously hold back at meals in order not to weight gain.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. I do not eat some foods because they make me fat.</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.686</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. I am always hungry enough to eat at any time.</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.517</td>
<td>-0.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. How often do you feel hungry?</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.574</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. How frequently do you avoid stocking up on tempting foods?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Is it likely for you to consciously eat less than you want?(^b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Do you go on eating binges when you are not hungry?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.659</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis
Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization
\(a\) Rotation converged in 7 iterations.
\(b\) Item 16 was excluded from the factor analysis due to lack of internal consistency with other items.

Table 5. The Intercorrelations Among the TFEQ-R-18 and Other Concurrent Measured Variables for 126 Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>TFEQ-R18 Pearson Correlation</th>
<th>Sig (2-Tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body Weight</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Fat%</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Muscle %</td>
<td>-0.111</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spielberger Anxiety Scale</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Craving Questionnaire</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compulsive Eating Scale</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appetite Visual Analogue Scale</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restraint Eating Visual Analogue Scale (Items 18 of the TFEQ-R18)</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary Calorie intake</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary Carbohydrate intake</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary Fat intake</td>
<td>-0.025</td>
<td>0.798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary Protein intake</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary Sugar intake</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Discussion
This was the first study to assess the psychometric characteristics of the TFEQ-R18 and report its reliability and validity among Iranian population and in overweight and obese females. We used the confirmatory factor analyses with maximum likelihood because there was preliminary information about the number of factors before the analysis. TEFQ-R18 instrument was made to measures 3 dimensions of human eating behavior (dietary restraint, dietary disinhibition, and hunger) in English population (4). Later, Karlsson et al. reported some construction problems with original TFEQ and decided to revise and reduce the items of the questionnaire. The new 18-item questionnaire with 3 subscales was created and named the TFEQ-R18. They proposed that disinhibition and hunger scales could be grouped in a single subscale and be labeled as uncontrolled eating (UE), with 9 questions. The Cognitive Restraint Scale (CR) was shortened to 6 subscales, and a third subscale was added including 3 items tagged as emotional eating (EE). Similarly, in our study, 3 components were loaded on the expected factors. Factor 1 was stronger and explained the greater percentage of the variance (33.1%); 9 items (1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14, 17) were loaded on this factor (Table 4), and the factor was named hunger. The second factor was cognitive restraint and explained 9.9 % of the variance (Items 2, 11, 12, and 15). The third factor was named emotional eating and explained 7.8% of the variance (Items 3 and 6). The Items 16 and 18 were eliminated from the factor analysis due to lack of consistency with other items. Our findings indicated that the preliminary reliability of the TFEQ-R18 measured using Cronbach’s alpha coefficient was 0.699, which was very near to acceptable. However, after removal of Items 16 and 18, the Cronbach’s alpha had increased to 0.73 (The Cronbach’s alpha of the factors was 0.84, 0.64, and 0.7, respectively.). Supornpim Chearskul et al. (20) reported Cronbach’s alpha coefficients of 0.78, 0.75, and .87 for 3 dimensions of the 51- item Thai version of the TFEQ, and the Cronbach’s alpha for the total questionnaire was 0.806. Also, test–retest reliability by Pearson’s correlation was 0.936 (21). Some studies revealed that nutritional elements affect depression and mood (22-24). Gheit J. et al. (12) reported that the Three- Factor Eating Questionnaire (TFEQ) had a significant positive correlation with BDI, and Compulsive Eating Scale (CES) in females. Furthermore, Ondercin et al. reported that females with higher scores of disturbed eating behavior more often respond to emotional states such as anxiety, boredom, loneliness, and depression (25). In our study, significant positive correlations were detected among the TFEQ-R18 scores, BDI, Appetite Visual Analogue.
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In our study, the TFEQ-R18 was positively correlated
with body weight, BMI, waist circumference, and total
body fat percentage (convergent validity), but was
negatively correlated with muscle percentage
(convergent validity; Table 5). In Table 5, the direction
correlation was of greater interest than the power of
association. Similarly, Timmerman et al. (26)
examined the correlation between disturbed eating,
caloric intake, body fat percentage, and BMI in
nonpurge binge eating females. They reported that
there was a weak, but significant relationship between
the severity of disturbed eating behavior and BMI;
however, the relationship between the severity of
disturbed eating behavior and body fat percentage
was not significant. In addition, other researchers revealed
a relationship between anthropometric indices and mood
and eating disorders (3, 11). Supornpim Cheeruskul et
al. (20) also reported positive correlations between
TFEQ factors and BMI (r = 0.17) and body fat
percentage (r = 0.32). Charlotte J. Harden et al.
reported that overweight participants had significantly
higher hunger and disinhibition scores (TFEQ
subscals) compared with their normal weight controls.
Provenccher et al. (27) studied the correlation of eating
behaviors and body composition indexes in males and
females from the Québec Family Study and reported
significant positive correlations between BMI and
susceptibility to hunger and BMI and disinhibition in
both males and females. A. Lesdema et al. (28) also
reported a positive correlation between TFEQ and
BMI. All these reports are in line with our results.
Furthermore, in our study, TFEQ was positively
related with the dietary intake of energy (r = 0.3),
protein (r = 0.07), carbohydrates (r = 0.34), and simple
sugar (r = 0.27), but not fat (negative relationship; r =
0.025). This finding is controversial because some
sources have reported higher amount of sugary and
high fat foods consumption in binge eaters (10). However,
others have reported that sugar and fat act
differently on the brain receptors, especially pleasure
and reward regions, which are associated with eating
behavior. Stice et al. (29) reported that sugar may more
vigorously influence eating behavior than fat.
Furthermore, Yu, Z. et al. reported that in rat models of
binge eating, ovarian hormones restrain the fat intake
(30). In addition, because these obese females are
selected along with a weight reduction diet program,
they might have reduced their fat intake prior to the
study. Likewise, Blandine de Lauzon et al. (31)
reported that the higher the cognitive restraint, the
lower the contribution of fat to dietary energy
consumption (negative correlation). Altogether, these
findings revealed good concurrent and convergent
validity of TFEQ-R18.

Limitations
A limitation of this study was that the study population
was limited to females. Hence, we suggest repeating a
validation study among males and children or the
elderly population.

Conclusion
The present study revealed that the Persian version of
the TFEQ-R18 is a psychometrically sound instrument
to assess eating psychopathology in Iranian overweight
and obese females. However, the results should be
interpreted with caution. The nature of the sample (ie,
only the clinical sample of the overweight and obese
females) limited the generalizability of the findings
into the general population and males.
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